


Pam
China

China Speaks to the World

10^c

BANNED AT THE U N

The delegate of the People's Republic of China, Wu Hsiu-chuan, was scheduled to deliver this speech at the Political and Security Committee of the U N . It documents the charge of aggression by the United States against China.

Manipulation prevented the speech from being made, and almost complete press kept it out of the newspapers when it was released by the Chinese delegation on Dec. 16, 1950

Published by:

Committee For A Democratic Far Eastern Policy, 80 E. 11 St., New York 3 N.Y.
as a public
informational service.

PROPOSED TEXT OF SPEECH BY CHINA'S DELEGATE TO THE U.N. POLITICAL AND SECURITY COMMITTEE

I have heard the speech made by Mr. Vyshinsky, Soviet delegate, in the meeting of the Political Security Committee on November 27, concerning the charge of American aggression against China. On behalf of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China, I fully sustain and support the entire speech of Mr. Vyshinsky, Soviet delegate, concerning the charge of American aggression against China. Historically, the U.S.S.R. has always been the great friend of the Chinese people. As far back as shortly after the success of the great October Socialist Revolution, the U.S.S.R. proclaimed the abolition of the unequal treaty concluded between tsarist Russia and China, and adopted a policy of treating China on a footing of genuine equality. Following the inauguration of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China, the U.S.S.R. further concluded with China a genuinely friendly Sino-Soviet Treaty of Friendship, Alliance and Mutual Assistance, and thus sealed the profound friendship between the peoples of China and the U.S.S.R. in the form of the treaty. The speech of Mr. Vyshinsky has further proved that the U.S.S.R. is the most reliable friend and ally of the Chinese people in opposing imperialist aggression and in the process of building up a people's China. All imperialist aggressors, first and foremost the American imperialist aggressors, fear the unity between the peoples of China and the U.S.S.R., and fear Sino-Soviet friendship, mutual assistance and alliance in opposing imperialist aggression and in safeguarding the common interests of China and the Soviet Union. With China and the Soviet Union united, the imperialists can no longer carry out their aggressive machinations. The firmly united force of the 700 million peoples of China and the Soviet Union constitutes the greatest obstacle to imperialist aggression. Thus, in desperation, the imperialist aggressors figured out various methods of sowing dissension in the relations between the two great allies, China and the Soviet Union. However, I must inform these imperialists that the great unity between China and the Soviet Union cannot be shaken. Your plots to sow dissension are in vain, are destined to fiasco and have already failed.

I have also heard the speech made by Mr. Dulles, American delegate, in reply to Mr. Vyshinsky's speech. That speech, Mr. Austin's speech made in the Security Council regarding this question, as well as his reply to my speech made in the Security Council, and the argument advanced by Mr. Jebb, British delegate, in support of the American delegate

are all distortions of fact and reversal of right and wrong which cannot withstand refutation. They have said a great deal, but whether it be history or philosophy or politics or economics, they fail to be consistent. The root of the matter is that they firmly maintain aggression and firmly maintain arguments in favour of aggression; they want to describe aggression as defence and opposition to aggression as aggression; war as peace; right as wrong and black as white. This certainly cannot be done, no matter how great their abilities. Take the question now under discussion; could they describe as non-existent that ponderous mass, which lies in the Taiwan Straits—America's 7th Fleet invading China's territory, Taiwan? Could they describe the fact of several hundred intrusions into China's air by thousands of American aeroplanes—bombing, strafing and reconnaissance—as matters which have not taken place? Impossible.

This impossibility which had to be performed is precisely the mission of Messrs. Dulles, Austin and other delegates of the American-British bloc here.

CHINESE-AMERICAN FRIENDSHIP

Mr. Dulles pretended to be a gentleman with a charitable soul and started his speech with a description of the friendship between the peoples of China and America. Well, let us talk about the friendship between the peoples of China and America. Does a profound friendship really exist between the peoples of China and America? Yes. When I arrived in New York, I said: "Profound friendship has always existed between the Chinese people and the American people." I now want to stress that the Chinese people and the American people not only had profound friendship in the past as well as at present, but that such friendship will always exist. However, the friendship between the Chinese people and the American people has nothing in common with the so-called "friendship," referred to by Messrs. Dulles, Austin, Acheson and Truman, between American imperialist aggressors and the Chinese who are subjected to aggression. In their speeches, Messrs. Dulles and Austin made no small effort to prove the existence of so-called friendship on the part of the American imperialist aggressors towards the Chinese people. According to Mr. Dulles' speech, such friendship was not mainly founded on America's commercial interests in China, but was founded on cultural and humanitarian motives. It is alleged that for 150 years, American imperialist relations with China had been of this sort. But, historically, what in fact

was this so-called friendship of the American imperialists towards the Chinese people? What was the actual substance of their cultural and humanitarian efforts in China? And what sort of people, after all, were their friends in China?

EARLY AMERICAN POLICY

Like the British, the American imperialists were opium traffickers who shipped opium to China from the earliest years. A considerable portion of the opium seized in Canton in 1839 was found in the hands of American opium dealers. In the Opium War, Kearny, Commander of the American Navy, personally commanded a fleet which came to Chinese waters to support the British forces. In July, 1844, the United States forced the Manchu government into signing the Treaty of Wanghia. America was the first nation to create the provisions of "extraterritoriality" and "equal share of interests." The Treaty of Wanghia was one of the earliest unequal treaties which the Manchu government concluded with foreign governments. During the period from 1853 to 1860, when British and French joint forces invaded China and advanced their troops to Tientsin and Peking, American warships also took part in this action and, moreover, the Americans took advantage of the opportunity to compel the Manchu government to sign the Sino-American Treaty of Tientsin (1858), thus acquiring such special privileges as restrictions on Chinese customs duties and navigation on China's inland waters.

In 1862, the American Consul General at Shanghai directed an American named Ward and others to organise forces jointly with the British to help the Manchu government in suppressing the Taiping Revolutionary Movement of the Chinese people.

In 1871, American troops attacked Korea. In 1873, apart from attacking Korea, America attacked Taiwan jointly with Japan. In 1874, America assisted Japan in a joint attack on Korea.

In 1900, America took part in the attack on China by the joint forces of eight imperialist Powers and, in the treaty of 1901, acquired, together with other imperialist nations, the prerogative to station troops in Peking and other places.

In November, 1917, the American Secretary of State Robert Lansing and the Japanese Special Envoy to the United States Ishii signed an agreement in which America recognised Japan's prerogatives in China. In the Paris Peace Conference in 1919, the U.S. Government recognised Japan's inheritance of Germany's prerogatives in Shantung.

During the period 1922 to 1924, the U.S. Government aided China's Chihli warlords Tsao Kun and Wu Pei-fu with arms and loans to carry on civil war.

In the "May 30" Massacre of 1925, the American imperialists took part in the slaughter of the Chinese people.

In March, 1927, the United States Government collaborated with Britain to bombard Nanking in order to suppress China's great revolution, and particularly to bring about Chiang Kai-shek's submission to imperialism, thus causing the "Hsiakuan Massacre."

During the period 1931 to 1933 the U.S. Govern-

ment aided Chiang Kai-shek's reactionary government by extending loans (one of which amounted to 50 million American dollars) and providing aircraft and military advisers for attacking the Workers and Peasants' Red Army led by the Chinese Communist Party.

When Japan invaded China, the United States Government supplied Japan with large amounts of military materials. According to the Japanese official data, military materials imported into Japan by America in 1935 occupied 33.5 per cent of Japan's total imports of military materials, and in March, 1940, they increased to 38.5. Scrap iron imported into Japan by America still comprised 50 per cent of Japan's total imports of scrap iron at the end of 1941.

After Japan's surrender, the U.S. Government actively carried out aggression against China in an attempt to take Japan's place in ruling over China and to turn China into an American colony. On November 4, 1946, the U.S. Government concluded with Chiang Kai-shek the notoriously aggressive "Sino-American Treaty of Friendship, Commerce and Navigation," and thus grabbed China's economic sovereignty, customs sovereignty, and rights to coastal and inland navigation. Even a certain British Member of Parliament termed this "unprecedentedly the most savage economic aggression" against China.

Following Japan's surrender, the American Government helped Chiang Kai-shek by providing him with money, arms and ammunition, supplies and military advisers to launch a full-scale civil war against the people. According to available data, the various types of aid given by the U.S. Government to Chiang Kai-shek during the period before and after Japan's surrender and up to June, 1948, amounted in value to over six billion American dollars.

A CHINESE VIEW



Acheson's peace pose exposed by aggression on Taiwan

This, in other words, is the huge investment made by the U.S. Government in Chiang Kai-shek's civil war against the people.

The history of these 150 years proves that the American imperialists consistently carried out aggression against China. Their so-called "friendship" towards the Chinese people is just another name for aggression. The cultural and humanitarian efforts made by them in China are actually means for realising their aggression. Their friends in China, from the Manchu emperor Tseng Kuo-fan, Li Hung-chang, Yuan Shih-kai, Wu Pei-fu and Tsao Kun to Chiang Kai-shek, who is spat upon by the people throughout China, were all assistants helping them to carry out their aggressions.


The whole world knows that at present the best friend of the United States Government in China is Chiang Kai-shek. Chiang Kai-shek is the best friend of the American imperialists but he is the bitter enemy of the Chinese people. Is not this fact a good proof that the American imperialists have always been the friends of the enemy of the Chinese people and that they have always been the enemy of the Chinese people?

THE "OPEN DOOR" POLICY

Messrs. Dulles and Austin have been here to publicise America's "Open Door" Policy towards China in history and they considered it the highest manifestation of friendship of the American ruling bloc towards the Chinese people. But, after all, what is the content of the so-called "Open Door" Policy? It meant the opening of China's door to the American imperialists as well as to other imperialist nations. That is, the American imperialists opposed the monopoly by other imperialist countries over China. The purpose of this policy was that the American imperialists wanted to "divide the spoils" of the benefits obtained with other imperialists in the aggression against China. That is to say, the American imperialists wanted to "share equally" with other imperialists the "benefits" derived from the exploitation of China. Is not this clear?

The American ruling bloc has conducted many cultural, religious and humanitarian enterprises. There were in fact many kind-hearted American people who believed in their deceitful propaganda and participated in these enterprises. However, the actual purpose and objective effect of establishing these enterprises were, in general, to dull the Chinese people, so that they would not resist American aggression.

The best explanation for the so-called "friendship" of the American imperialists towards the Chinese people is the so-called "aid" of the U.S. Government publicised here by Messrs. Dulles and Austin. Did the U.S. Government "aid" China? Yes, it did. But it was the enemies of the Chinese people whom the U.S. Government "aided". The U.S. Government aided them to repress the Chinese people and to slaughter them. Mr. Austin said that during the past 13 years, the funds given by the U.S. Government to China as so-called "aid" amounted to an annual average of 100 million U.S. dollars. Mr. Austin also said that in fact the amount was more



AT THE U. N., American delegate Austin

than that. After the war, the United States Government aided the Chiang-Kai-shek government by providing the latter with great quantities of guns, bombs, munitions, aircraft and warships for carrying on civil war. But what was the consequence of such so-called U.S. Government "aid"? Chiang Kai-shek used the American weapons and slaughtered several millions of the Chinese people. I have here a collection of photographs showing a part of the American weapons which the Chinese People's Liberation Army captured from the hands of the reactionary Kuomintang troops. Please circulate it for perusal. It is an iron proof that the American imperialists have slaughtered the Chinese people through the hands of Chiang Kai-shek.

Profound friendship has always existed between the Chinese people and the American people. But what the American imperialists have for China and the Chinese people is only aggression. The Chinese people have never had any friendship towards the American imperialists and the American ruling bloc. What they have had was only hatred. Such hatred is the inevitable consequence of the American imperialists' policy of aggression against China. Owing to the fact that the American imperialists' policy of aggression against China has entered into the stage of armed aggression against China, the friendship between the Chinese people and American people is now in danger of being undermined. But, charitable Mr. Dulles, who are undermining the friendship between the peoples of the two countries. Undermining this friendship is no third party. It is the United States Government, the American ruling bloc, the American imperialists, the policy and actions of the U.S. Government of armed aggression against China. It is the American imperialists who sent the American youth to the Taiwan Straits and into China's air to be the enemy of the Chinese people. Has there ever been any instance of the Chinese fleet entering American straits to prepare for war against the American people? Has there ever been any instance of Chinese aeroplanes intruding into the American air to bomb American women and babies? Was it not Truman, the U.S. President, who proposed considering the use of that criminal

weapon, the atom bomb, to annihilate the Chinese people and the Korean people?

At present, American imperialist aggression against China has changed its form from its historically hidden and indirect from to outright, naked, armed aggression.

Taiwan is definitely and unchangeably an inseparable part of China's territory. The liberation of Taiwan by the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China is an exercise of its sovereign right on its own territory, and is strictly a domestic question of China in which no intervention by any foreign government will be allowed. However, President Truman has openly sent American armed forces to Taiwan, determined to prevent the liberation of Taiwan by the Chinese People's Liberation Army; this is clearly outright, naked, armed aggression against China's territory by American imperialism, but American delegates and their lackeys say that the status of Taiwan is that of one of the territories which formerly belonged to Japan, and its disposition, similarly to that of other territories which formerly belonged to Japan, is still a question of international concern. These delegates of the Anglo-American bloc have forgotten that throughout history Taiwan has always been an inseparable part of China's territory, and that the inhabitants of Taiwan are Chinese under the jurisdiction of the Government of China. It was seized by Japan only in 1895; its disposition has not only long ago been determined in the Cairo and Potsdam Declarations, but has already been in effect for five years after the end of the war. The delegate of the United States, Mr. Austin, said that the American aggression against Taiwan is for the "military neutralisation" of that island. But according to the United Nations Charter and rules of international law, there is no reason whatever which the United States Government can use as its justification for intervening in the internal affairs and committing aggression against the territory of another country. The allegation that the invasion of Taiwan by United States armed forces was intended to militarily neutralise Taiwan inadvertently affords proof of United States aggression against Taiwan. Mr. Dulles said that the United States has not invaded Taiwan, for, according to him, the military personnel of the United States Government stationed on Taiwan consists of only 44 persons. Mr. Dulles thinks that by such words he could demonstrate that the United States has not invaded Taiwan. However, Mr. Dulles did not inform us how many naval personnel there are in all in the United States 7th Fleet, nor where exactly this fleet is at present. By turning "something" into "nothing," Mr. Dulles seeks to create an argument in defence of the United States invasion of Taiwan. But Mr. Dulles' sophistry cannot talk into non-existence the 7th Fleet which is invading Taiwan. Mr. Austin has also adopted another set of tricks, that is, he does not deny the fact that the U.S. 7th Fleet has invaded the Taiwan Straits, but, instead, he deliberately attempts to deny the treaties and facts of Taiwan as the territory of China, and, furthermore, has the brazen audacity to ask the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China what it plans to do about Taiwan after all.



IN CHINA, Mao Tse-tung

The people of China have resolved to liberate Taiwan from the clutches of the utterly shameless American aggressors. We have decided to do so and have the power to do so. The United States Government must bear the responsibility for all consequences arising thereby. Mr. Austin attempts to make use of the name of the United Nations to legalise the illegal action of American invasion of Taiwan; he seeks a few accomplices to invade Taiwan. But I want to tell Mr. Austin: Any illegal decision of the United Nations manipulated by the United States Government has not the slightest binding force on the Chinese people. The Chinese people are determined to smash not only the chief aggressors now confronting them but also all aggressors who dare to invade China's territory.

Mr. Dulles dared not deny the fact that the United States air force invading Korea has intruded into China's territorial air to conduct bombing, strafing and reconnaissance activities, but Mr. Dulles said that among the 83 instances of American aircraft intruding into China's territorial air, which were brought forward by the Soviet Delegation on November 15, 61 were in the nature of reconnaissance flights. Let us now ask Mr. Dulles: Even if these intrusions into China's territorial air were in the nature of reconnaissance flights, could they then not be regarded as acts of aggression? If Chinese aircraft were to fly over New York to carry out reconnaissance, what would be Mr. Dulles' reaction?



The delegate of the United States further says that if there are facts proving that American military aircraft have actually invaded China's territorial air, bombed China's cities and towns, and killed and wounded Chinese people, the United States Government would be willing to pay a fixed sum as compensation. Observe, how flippantly the delegates of the United States speak of this matter! But I want solemnly to tell the American delegates: The state sovereignty of the People's Republic of China cannot be bought with your money. I also want to tell the American delegates that one of the sources of the many troubles you are encountering in the world today is that you always think you can buy the sovereignty of any country with your money. But you forget that China is now a country in which the people is in power, the sovereign rights of this country cannot be bought with a few cents of your filthy money. What you could buy were merely such sovereign rights as those of the old China when Chiang Kai-shek was in power. However, to your misfortune, in spite of the fact that the ruling bloc of Old China had received several billions in purchase money from you, it has finally been driven out from China's mainland by the Chinese people. Mr. Dulles, herein lies the origin of your hostility towards the Chinese people.

Mr. Austin has said that "the actions of the United States Government, since the establishment of the Peking Government, have not been aggressive towards China, or towards Korea, or in Korea." But after all, it is not easy for a robber loaded with booty concealed on his person to pose as a saint entering heaven.

THE CHARGES DOCUMENTED

Now, I submit the following facts, on which I base my charge against the U.S. Government of intervention in, aggression against and hostility towards China.

1. The U.S. Government gave energetic support to Chiang Kai-shek's reactionary remnant bloc in Taiwan to carry on desperate struggles and instructed them to blockade China's coast and bomb her coastal cities. The U.S. continuously sends munitions, arms and other supplies to Taiwan. Incomplete statistics show that, between January and October this year, the U.S. and Canadian Governments have sent Chiang Kai-shek's reactionary remnant bloc several hundred aeroplanes and over 700 tanks, while American officers have been training the Kuomintang forces in Taiwan to fight against the Chinese people.

The American warmonger, Chennault, ex-Commander of the U.S. 14th Air Force, openly stated that blockade was necessary to strangle the Communists by hunger. And it is a fact known to the world that Chiang Kai-shek's reactionary remnant bloc used American aircraft to bomb the cities along China's coast. The Chinese people can never forget that, on February 6, Chiang Kai-shek sent American planes to conduct a savage bombing of Shanghai, killing and wounding more than 1,000 people and wrecking a great number of houses and other properties.

Apart from openly and actively aiding Chiang Kai-shek's reactionary remnant bloc to conduct desperate struggles, the U.S. Government tried to undermine the People's Republic of China from within. The special service agencies of the U.S. Government and of the Kuomintang are working hand in glove directing agents and bandits to carry out all kinds of sabotage activities. According to the statistics of the Southwest Military and Administrative Committee of my country, 60 per cent of the important bandit chiefs in the southwestern provinces are trained by the American-Kuomintang Special Services. Recently, in the November 18 issue of *Collier's* magazine, the same Chennault openly declared: "A programme of military aid to anti-Communist Chinese guerrillas is practicable. It would not cost very many American dollars. It would cost no American lives."

2. The U.S. Government used all means to obstruct the delegate of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China from joining the United Nations and the Allied Council for Japan, while it stubbornly supported the common enemy of the Chinese people—the Kuomintang reactionary remnant bloc in Taiwan—in illegally occupying China's seat.

Since as far back as November 15 of last year, our Foreign Minister Chou En-lai has been demanding that the illegal delegates of the Kuomintang reactionary remnant bloc be expelled from the United Nations. The delegates of the Soviet Union, India and those of some other countries have time and again made similar proposals at the United Nations General Assembly and Security Council. But the reasonable requests and proposals were all voted down by the U.S. and the servile countries under its command.

Thus, even now, the U.S. Government has not only kept the delegation of the Kuomintang reactionary remnant bloc in the United Nations, but

CHINESE CARTOON



The UN Security Council decides to discuss American aggression in Taiwan

led its pack of lackeys to attack the justifiable charges of the legal delegates of the People's Republic of China.

3. The U.S. Government is actively arming the fascist forces in Japan. These forces are reviving and, despite the repeated protests and warnings by my Government, General MacArthur has illegally released large numbers of the Japanese war criminals who had invaded China.


Under the cloak of the "police reserve corps," the American authorities in Japan are re-establishing the Japanese land forces. Japan's police forces already number 125,000. The Japanese navy is also being restored. The so-called Japanese "Maritime Security Service" now possesses 300 war vessels.

The U.S. Government is trying to reach a separate peace treaty with Japan, so as to monopolise the occupation of Japan. In a recent memorandum to the Far Eastern Commission concerning the peace treaty with Japan, the U.S. State Department went so far as openly to propose that the status of China's territory, Taiwan, should be decided at a joint discussion of the U.S., Britain, China and the Soviet Union. From this one can gain an idea of what the U.S. Government has in mind regarding the so-called peace treaty with Japan.

PLANS FOR JAPAN

Undoubtedly, the U.S. Government has adopted such a policy towards Japan, with the aim of converting Japan into an American imperialist military base for aggressive war in the East. Moreover, the U.S. Government has utilised this military base, Japan, and the Japanese fascist warlords to launch the armed invasion of China's territory, Taiwan, and China's neighbour, Korea.

4. In the Pacific, the U.S. Government has built up a vast network of military bases starting from the American base of Alaska and stretching to Thailand through the Aleutian Island, Japan, Ryukyu, Korea, Taiwan, the Philippines and Viet-Nam.



Anyone can see that this network forms an encirclement around New China. MacArthur has openly admitted that this network of bases has China and the Soviet Union as its targets for encirclement and attack.


Simultaneous armed invasion by the U.S. Government of our territory, Taiwan, and of our neighbour, Korea, is not only an inevitable outcome of America's consistent imperialist aggression against China, but also a premeditated step towards launching further all-out attacks against the People's Republic of China.

Without question, in invading Taiwan the U.S. Government wants to make it a springboard for American imperialism to invade the mainland of China, and its armed invasion of Korea is likewise to make Korea a springboard for invading the mainland of China.

From its very outset, the aggression of the U.S. Government against Korea has threatened the security of my country. Later, despite the repeated warnings of my Government, the U.S. Government ordered the U.S. forces invading Korea to stubbornly spread the flames of aggressive war to the borders of Northeast China. In doing so, the U.S. Government could have no other aim than to go on to invade China after the complete occupation of Korea. Countless historical facts have proved that the end of the first step of aggressors is merely the starting point of the next step for a new aggression. In fact, without waiting to complete the task of occupying Korea, the U.S. imperialist aggressors and their puppets had already worked out their plan for the next step.

Article 7 of the draft "Korean-American Treaty," proposed by Syngman Rhee to the U.S. Government in 1949, states, "It is recognised and understood further, that if the war of liberation (referring to the American aggressive war) must be continued on the territory of Manchuria in reply to an attack of the Chinese Communist Party on Korea, His Excellency the President of the United States of America helps his Excellency the President of the Korean Republic to bring the war of liberation to a victorious conclusion. In his turn, the President of the Korean Republic, apart from the rehabilitation of Northern Korea, which will be of main interest for the Korean

COMMUNISTS' VIEWS




The people's strength is invincible against American imperialist aggression

TAIWAN

(FORMOSA)

in relation
to China



Republic, undertakes to hand over the development of the natural resources of Manchuria and other parts of Eastern China to the joint administration of the United States and Korea."

The American warmongers ceaselessly clamoured for the crossing of the U.S. army from Northern Korea into Northeast China. The October 13 issue of the *U.S. News and World Report*, an American magazine of wide circulation, published an air bombing map of the U.S. army of invasion in Korea, distinctly marking the cities in Northeast China as objectives for the U.S. invasion air force in Korea.

On the third day of my arrival in New York. November the 26th, the *New York Times* published a reader's letter from Mr. Yang Heung-li, former South Korean representative to MacArthur's Headquarters, proposing the establishment of a buffer zone in the Chinese territory north of the Yalu River. It could not be an accident that the *New York Times* should publish such a letter. All these show that the U.S. forces invading Korea are attempting to invade Northeast China according to plan.

The purpose of the U.S. Government in extending their Korean war of aggression is demonstrated still more definitely by the actions of the U.S. invasion army in Korea. As early as August 27, the aeroplanes and naval vessels of the U.S. invasion forces in Korea began ceaselessly to provoke my country. From August 27 to November 24, the U.S. invasion air force in Korea despatched over a thousand aeroplanes to invade the territorial air of my country. They penetrated into Chinese territory and bombed, strafed and reconnoitred on over 200 occasions, causing huge casualties and deaths among the Chinese people and severe losses to my country's property. In addition, U.S. naval vessels, invading Taiwan and Korea, illegally searched peaceful Chinese merchant shipping on the high seas. This series of aggressive actions on the part of the United States has proved that the U.S. Government is already determined to extend its aggression to China.

Aggressive, bellicose acts by the American imperialists against the People's Republic of China

have already begun. I have brought with me a compiled list. Please pass it round, Chairman and fellow delegates. It contains the records of the invasion into Chinese territorial air of U.S. military planes, bombing, strafing and reconnoitring, damaging Chinese properties and killing and wounding Chinese people between August 27 and 9:20 hours, November 10.

CHINA AND KOREA LINKED

Under the intolerable conditions of the American imperialists having committed aggression against China for a long time, of being hostile to the Chinese people everywhere, of having launched aggression against Taiwan and Korea simultaneously, of their being determined to extend the aggressive war in Korea, and of their committing ceaseless provocations against China, the Chinese people have flocked to volunteer to help Korea and resist America in order to protect their homes and defend their homeland. This is entirely natural, entirely reasonable, entirely honourable and justifiable and no cause whatsoever for the warmongers to make a fuss over.

The American ruling bloc, in accordance with its premeditated plan, instigated its puppet Syngman Rhee to launch attacks on the Korean Democratic People's Republic and provoke the Korean civil war. At the same time, on the pretext of intervening in the Korean civil war, it simultaneously launched invasions against Taiwan and Korea. Its aggression against the Chinese territory of Taiwan is an outright naked act of aggression, without the slightest

justification whatsoever. Its aggression against Korea is similarly an unpardonable act of aggression. The reasons which the U.S. Government put forward for its aggression against Korea cannot withstand the slightest refutation. The U.S. Government attempted to steal the mantle of the United Nations and use it to cloak the truth of its armed intervention in Korea. But this effort is in vain.

If the Syngman Rhee reactionary bloc had not only provoked the Korean civil war, but had also gained the upper hand in the civil war and from the start pushed the war into the heart of the territory of the Korean Democratic People's Republic, we are convinced that the U.S. Government definitely would not have deemed it as aggression on the part of South Korea against North Korea, and, consequently, would not have asked the United Nations to take so-called measures "against aggression." However, to the disadvantage of the American ruling bloc, after beating back the provocative attacks of the Syngman Rhee reactionary clique, the Korean Democratic People's Republic immediately turned to the counter-offensive and swiftly pushed the war into the areas under the rule of the Syngman Rhee reactionary bloc. Then the U.S. Government yelled the utterly absurd slogan of the so-called North Korean aggression against South Korea and immediately despatched armed forces to engage in armed intervention into Korean domestic affairs and launched armed aggression against the Korean people in accordance with its premeditated plan.

WHAT IS AGGRESSION?

It is the greatest lie in the history to say that the counter-attacks launched by the North Korean people's armed forces against the reactionary bloc in South Korea in the civil war is a form of aggression. But it is precisely under cover of this flagrant lie that the United States Government carries out armed aggression in Korea. We would like to ask the American delegates: There was a civil war in America in the sixties of the 19th century and at that time President Lincoln led the armed forces of the Northern States and attacked the Southern States. Do the American delegates regard that as aggression on the part of the North against the South, that President Lincoln was the arch aggressor who led this aggression? We would further like to ask the American delegates: Not long ago the Chinese people had a similar experience. In 1946, the Kuomintang Chiang Kai-shek reactionary bloc violated internal peace and launched civil war against the liberated areas of China led by the Chinese Communist Party, and in 1948, the Chinese people's armed forces, after repelling Chiang Kai-shek's offensive and going over to the counter offensive, carried the war into areas ruled by the Kuomintang. Do the American delegates regard this as aggression on the part of the Chinese People's Liberation Army against the reactionary Kuomintang bloc?

The American ruling bloc may disown their own history, but freedom-loving people throughout the world can clearly see the facts. The counter-attacks of the North Korean people's armed forces against the Syngman Rhee reactionary bloc in South

Korea in the civil war is not aggression in any sense. The true aggressors are the United States Government, the American ruling bloc, the American imperialists who sent their armed forces to Korea to attack the Korean people on the pretext of opposing the so-called aggression of North Korea against South Korea. People throughout the world are asking the American ruling bloc: How can Koreans launch an aggression against Koreans? Why were United States armed forces sent to Korea, 5,000 miles away, to attack the Korean people who have never invaded the United States? These are questions which are rightly posed. It is impossible for the Korean people to launch aggression against Koreans, just as it is impossible for Americans to launch aggression against Americans. The Koreans have never invaded the United States and the Americans. It is the American imperialist ruling bloc which intervened in the internal affairs of Korea and carries on armed aggression in Korea.

The American ruling bloc attempts to usurp the name of the United Nations to cover up its intervention in Korea's internal affairs, but this can also deceive nobody. The American delegates are accustomed to cite the resolutions of the U.N. Security Council of June 25, 27 and July 7, which demanded that members of the United Nations should give military support to Syngman Rhee's so-called Republic of Korea against the so-called aggression by the Korean Democratic People's Republic. It is alleged that these are legal grounds for the so-called United Nations forces to oppose aggression by North Korea. But all these legal grounds are absolutely illegal. They violate the United Nations Charter in both form and substance. The United Nations Charter definitely provides that it shall not authorise the United Nations to intervene in incidents which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state. But all the above-mentioned decisions by the U.N. Security Council regarding the Korean question have grossly violated this principle of not intervening in the domestic affairs of other states, and, therefore, are illegal.

ILLEGAL U N DECISIONS

The adoption of these decisions by the Security Council is also illegal in procedure. The United Nations Charter definitely provides that any vital decision of the Security Council must be made by seven votes of agreement including those of the five permanent members. But all these decisions were adopted without the participation and without the agreement of two of the permanent members—the U.S.S.R. and the People's Republic of China. Therefore, they are in contravention of the United Nations Charter and are illegal. The Central Peo-



ple's Government of the People's Republic of China has early voiced its attitude resolutely opposing these reactionary decisions adopted illegally by the U.N. Security Council under the manipulation of the United States Government. In fact, to study and analyse the illegality of these decisions is unnecessary, because the fact was that, on June 27, the United States Government first decided to carry out armed intervention in Korea's domestic affairs and made a solemn announcement to the world to that effect and then ordered Mr. Austin to bring this decision before the Security Council for adoption.

In all his utterances, Mr. Austin talks of the so-called United Nations army as carrying out an "anti-aggression" war in Korea, but whom can he deceive? Those who are at present launching war against the Korean people in Korea are not the so-called United Nations army, but mainly the United States army helped by a small number of troops of other nations. The man who commands in this war is not a so-called United Nations commander-in-chief, but is the American Far Eastern Commander-in-Chief—General MacArthur—who is bitterly hated by the Asian people. The purpose of these troops who are now engaged in a war against the Korean people is in fact not for the purpose of opposing aggression, because in fact the Koreans cannot launch aggression on Koreans; nor is it in fact for achieving the independence and unification of Korea, because there has never been such an absurdity in the world that the independence and unification of a state has to be achieved compulsorily by the armed forces of another state or several other states. No. The purpose of the American troops now engaged in a war against the Korean people is to conquer the whole of Korea and use Korea as a springboard for attacking China's mainland. As I have stated above, it has already started the attacks on China's mainland.

AN END TO ATROCITIES

These American and Syngman Rhee armies who are fighting against the Korean people have violated all international laws and usage by committing large-scale murder of the innocent and peace-loving Korean population, including women and children, and barbarously destroying peaceful cities and villages in Korea. Their barbarity and cruelty is no less than, but surpasses, what the Hitlerite armies did in Europe. The barbaric, ruthless and inhuman acts of the American and Syngman Rhee armies in Korea have aroused anger beyond measure among the Korean and Chinese people and all the peace-loving peoples in the world. Here I must point out that as far back as August 5 and up to November 27, Foreign Minister Bak Hun-yung of the Korean Democratic People's Republic has repeatedly lodged grave protests to the United Nations, demanding that it stop these inhuman and atrocious acts of the United States and Syngman Rhee armies. But the United Nations has deliberately given no heed to this charge and has purposely covered up these blood-stained records. On the other hand, despite the fact that the treatment given by the Korean People's Army to the prisoners of war who laid down their arms is

generous—a fact which is known to the American people—and that the Korean People's Army has released several hundred prisoners of war, the press reports of the American ruling regime have deliberately exaggerated and coloured the news of American soldiers killed on the Korean battleground, so as to dupe the American people and stimulate their feeling of vengeance, with a view to manufacturing pretexts for the American and Syngman Rhee armies to continue their slaughter and destruction on a greater scale in Korea. This is a shameless conduct against which we cannot but lodge our angry protest. The reactionary view that only the lives of the Anglo-Saxon people are valuable and, that those of the Asian peoples are not worth a cent is no longer applicable. The Chinese people will resolutely carry on the struggle, regardless of sacrifice, to oppose these unprecedentedly barbarous, ruthless and inhuman acts of the American and Syngman Rhee armies.

Messrs. delegates, this is the true content of the Korean question. The U.S. Government engages in armed intervention in Korea, slaughters the Korean people and attempts to conquer the whole of Korea and attack the mainland of China. On behalf of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China, I bring to the United Nations General Assembly charges against the U.S. Government of committing illegal and criminal aggression in Korea, slaughtering of the Korean people, extension of the J₁ Korean war and its threat to the mainland of China.

NAKED AGGRESSION

The armed invasion of Taiwan by the U.S. Government is outright naked aggression, without any justification whatsoever. Its armed invasion of Korea is essentially an unpardonable aggression, also devoid of the slightest justification. The simultaneous armed invasion of Taiwan and Korea by the U.S. Government further proves that it is actually carrying out large-scale aggressive plans which had been prepared long ago. Therefore, it is



The Enemy

this simultaneous invasion, threatening the Chinese and Korean people, that constitutes aggression of a shameless character. The U.S. President Truman, Secretary of State Acheson and U.S. delegate Austin have consistently adopted this line of using their armed intervention of Korea as proof of the necessity for the armed invasion of Taiwan by the U.S. Government. Even Mr. Ales Bebler, Chairman of the November Session of the Security Council, also adopted this line, alleging that the invasion of Taiwan by the U.S. Government was a question of secondary importance. Thereby, he supported the view of not discussing at all the charge which my Government has filed on the American armed invasion of Taiwan or the other view contending that the question of the charge made by my country of American armed invasion of Taiwan should be settled after the solution of the Korean question. I want to point out emphatically that all these views are preposterous and are in the interests of the American aggressors. The United Nations Charter definitely stipulates that no reason can be used as a pretext for aggression. On what grounds can the American armed intervention in Korea be used as a pretext for the armed invasion of Taiwan? The attempt of the American delegates to advance the argument of armed intervention in Korea as reason for the American armed invasion of Taiwan is ridiculous. It cannot deceive anyone and cannot withstand the slightest refutation.

THE OVERALL PLAN

Indeed, there is a close relationship between the aggression of the United States Government against Taiwan and Korea. However, the relationship between the two is not that American armed intervention in Korea can be taken as the reason for American aggression in Taiwan, but that the American aggression in Taiwan and Korea are part and parcel of America's over-all plan to attack the mainland of China. To the Chinese people, those who have invaded Korea and are carrying out a war against the Korean people and those carrying out aggression in Taiwan are one and the same gang of aggressors. Since America has simultaneously launched aggression against China's neighbour, Korea, why then cannot the Chinese people, while opposing America's armed aggression in Taiwan, simultaneously assist, on a voluntary basis, the Korean people in opposing armed American aggression in Korea? While at the meeting of the Security Council, I have heard of a lot of empty talk to the effect that the troops at present fighting in Korea have no intention whatsoever of invading China's territory in the Northeast; to the effect that the majority of the members of the United Nations in the Security Council were preparing to write down such assurances in resolutions, and so why then were the Chinese people still uneasy? This is simply taking the Chinese people for idiots.

What deserves attention is that these countries who are willing to guarantee that the American troops in Korea certainly will not invade China's frontiers, are precisely the countries which advocate that the U.S. 7th Fleet should remain in the Taiwan Straits to continue its invasion of China.

Suppose there were a foreign country which was hostile to America, and a part of its troops carried



out an armed occupation of Hawaii while another part of its troops simultaneously invaded Mexico. America's neighbour, and suppose this foreign aggressor who invaded America's Hawaii came to assure the American people: "Do not be alarmed. Our army in Mexico will certainly not invade American territory." Let us think for a while, could the American people believe in the assurance of this aggressor and his accomplices?

The U.S. Government is conducting an armed invasion of China's territory, Taiwan, and elsewhere their armed forces are advancing menacingly close to the Chinese frontier. But the U.S. Government and its accomplices want the Chinese people to believe their assurance that this part of their armed forces advancing close to our frontier will certainly not invade our territory there. The experience of the Chinese people in struggle is too rich. To such worthless nonsense, they will not even pay any attention.

The U.S. 7th Fleet which has invaded the Taiwan Straits and the U.S. invasion air force in Korea which has invaded Chinese territorial air on more than 200 occasions repudiate any assurance which Mr. Austin and Mr. Jebb can submit. The U.S. 7th Fleet speaks more resoundingly and more eloquently than the words of Mr. Austin.

The Chinese people fully and correctly understand the full significance of the simultaneous armed aggression carried out by the U.S. Government in Taiwan and Korea and the ceaseless provocation of China by the U.S. armed forces. The aggressor invading Korea is precisely the aggressor invading Taiwan. Once he has completed his aggression against Korea, the next step for the aggressor invading Korea will inevitably be the further invasion of the Chinese mainland.

VOLUNTEERS IN KOREA

China and Korea have a common frontier of 500 kilometres. Historically, the relations between the peoples of China and Korea have been like that between lips and teeth which rely on one another. The aggressor who invades Korea today will inevitably invade China tomorrow. Fifty-five years ago, Japanese imperialism acted in this manner; at present the U.S. imperialists are acting in this same manner with increasing speed.

Therefore, the action of the Chinese people who resist the U.S. Government's armed aggression in Taiwan and, on a voluntary basis, assist the Korean people to resist American aggression, is not only beyond reproach but is completely just. It is not only to help their neighbour but also to safeguard their own country. It is the American imperialist aggressors and their accomplices who have invaded Taiwan and Korea. The action of the Chinese people in extending voluntary aid to the Korean people in resisting American aggression is a righteous action opposing intervention and aggression. No matter how the American aggressors and their accomplices reverse truth and falsehood, and deceive and lie, the just struggle of the Chinese and Korean people against intervention and aggression will never

cease so long as intervention and aggressive atrocities do not cease.

Can we imagine such absurd talk that the American imperialists may go 5,000 miles away to invade Korea and Taiwan but the Chinese people may not voluntarily assist the Korean people to oppose the aggression and intervention of American imperialism which has invaded China and Korea? It is inconceivable. The spearhead of the American aggressors has projected too far. It has stretched across the Pacific Ocean 5,000 miles and penetrated into the territory of China and Korea.

The Chinese and Korean people firmly demand that the American aggressors and their accomplices shall gather up their long spear of aggression, and at the same time withdraw all their armed forces from Taiwan and Korea. Recently, as a result of the bankruptcy of U.S. General MacArthur's so-called final offensive to end the aggressive war in Korea, U.S. President Truman, Secretary of State Mr. Acheson and American delegate Mr. Austin have clamoured about what they called the "new aggression" or "intervention" by China in Korea. Moreover, they threatened again to use the name of the United Nations illegally to further expand the war to the Chinese mainland, not even caring if they provoked a third world war. Messrs. delegates, this is a savage threat; the Chinese and Korean people who are determined to resist the American aggression will never submit to any threat. The American ruling bloc attempts to expand its aggressive war in Korea to the Chinese mainland by again usurping the name of the United Nations. We resolutely oppose the United States making use of the United Nations again. This will entirely undermine the United Nations Charter. At the same time, I want to remind all the delegates of the countries who support this move of the United States: If you do so, you are further supporting American aggression and are making enemies of the 475 million people of China as well as thousands of millions of people in the world who love peace and oppose war. You will not be forgiven by the people of China and the world, and you must bear the inevitable consequences of your acts.

The United States President Mr. Truman and Secretary of State Mr. Acheson time and again referred to the "crisis" in the present international situation. Yes, there is indeed a "crisis" in the present international situation. But we venture to ask: What is the source of this "crisis"? It stems from the aggressive policy of the American imperialists. A handful of imperialist aggressors in the United States insists on extending aggression and wanting world war, thus causing this "crisis" in the present international situation. Yet, how to solve this present so-called crisis and improve the international situation? People all over the world oppose war. But peace cannot be obtained by conceding to the aggressors. The only way to check the war crisis and improve the international situation lies in strengthening the efforts of all peace-loving countries and people in the world in opposition to American imperialist

aggression. Mr. Austin reverses right and wrong by saying that the question of world peace or war will be decided by the Chinese people, which sounds as though it is the Chinese people who want to go to America to bomb and launch atom bombs. No, Mr. Austin, right or wrong in this world allow no misrepresentation. The facts before the people of the world are that the handful of American imperialists, against the wish of the American people and the people of the world, insist on extending aggression and are determined on wanting war and even threaten the use of atom bombs. The question confronting the people of the world is how effectively to oppose this conspiracy to extend the war and to check this war crisis created by the imperialist aggressors. Out of their bitter personal experiences gained from their long-drawn-out struggles, the Chinese people have deeply realised that only by not making the slightest concession in checking the aggression of the American imperialists can world war be avoided and world peace preserved. The Chinese people are determined to do this. All threats and tricks are futile.

The Chinese people are entirely confident and determined to liberate Taiwan from the hands of the American aggressors and to halt all their direct and indirect acts of aggression against the People's Republic of China. Nevertheless, to preserve world peace and international security, and to maintain the dignity of the United Nations Charter, the United Nations are in duty bound to check the aggressive acts by the U.S. Government of armed invasion of China's territory, Taiwan, and armed intervention against China's neighbour, Korea. Hence, on behalf of the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China, I propose that the General Assembly of the United Nations adopt the following resolution:

In view of the fact that the occupation of Taiwan by the United States Government's armed forces constitutes open and direct aggression on the territory of China, and in view of the fact that the United States Government is undermining the peace and security of Asia and violating the United Nations Charter and international agreements by its armed invasion of China's territory and its armed intervention in Korea, the General Assembly requests the Security Council:

1. To condemn and take concrete steps to punish severely the criminal acts of armed invasion of China's territory, Taiwan, and armed intervention in Korea committed by the U.S. Government;

2. To adopt effective measures immediately to make the United States Government withdraw its armed aggressive forces completely from Taiwan in order to ensure peace and security on the Pacific and in Asia;

3. To adopt effective measures immediately to make all troops of the United States and other countries withdraw from Korea, the domestic affairs of Korea to be settled by the People of North and South Korea themselves, so as to solve the Korean question peacefully.

Published by

Committee For A Democratic Far Eastern Policy, 80 E. 11 St., New York 3-N.Y.